

ON LINEAR INDEPENDENCE OF INTEGER SHIFTS OF COMPACTLY SUPPORTED DISTRIBUTIONS

BIN HAN

ABSTRACT. Linear independence of integer shifts of compactly supported functions plays an important role in approximation theory and wavelet analysis. In this note we provide a simple proof for two known characterizations of linear independence of integer shifts of a finite number of compactly supported distributions on \mathbb{R}^d .

By $l(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ we denote the space of all complex-valued sequences $v = \{v(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on \mathbb{Z}^d . In particular, by δ we denote the Dirac/Kronecker sequence on \mathbb{Z}^d such that $\delta(0) = 1$ and $\delta(k) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$. Let ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_r be compactly supported distributions on \mathbb{R}^d . The integer shifts of ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_r are (globally) *linearly independent* if

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} v_\ell(k) \phi_\ell(\cdot - k) = 0 \quad (1)$$

for some sequences $v_1, \dots, v_r \in l(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, then we must have $v_1(k) = \dots = v_r(k) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

As usual, by $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we denote the space of all compactly supported C^∞ (test) functions on \mathbb{R}^d . For a locally integrable function ϕ on \mathbb{R}^d , we shall use the following pairing:

$$\langle \phi, h \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) \overline{h(x)} dx, \quad h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $\overline{h(x)}$ is the complex conjugate of $h(x)$. For a general distribution ϕ and $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\langle \phi, h \rangle := \phi(\overline{h})$ and we define $\langle h, \phi \rangle := \overline{\langle \phi, h \rangle}$. For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)^\top$ and $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d)^\top \in \mathbb{C}^d$, we define $z \cdot x := z_1 x_1 + \dots + z_d x_d$ and moreover, we define $z^x := z_1^{x_1} \dots z_d^{x_d}$ if all x_1, \dots, x_d are integers and z_1, \dots, z_d are nonzero. For a compactly supported function $\phi \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, its Fourier-Laplace transform $\widehat{\phi} : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined to be

$$\widehat{\phi}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) e^{-iz \cdot x} dx = \langle \phi(x), \overline{e^{-iz \cdot x}} \rangle, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^d.$$

The Fourier-Laplace transform can be naturally extended to compactly supported distributions ϕ as $\widehat{\phi}(z) := \widehat{\phi}h(z) = \langle \phi(x), \overline{h(x)e^{-iz \cdot x}} \rangle$, where $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ takes value 1 in a neighborhood of the support of ϕ . For a compactly supported distribution ϕ on \mathbb{R}^d , its Fourier-Laplace transform $\widehat{\phi}$ is an analytic function in \mathbb{C}^d .

The problem of linear independence of integer shifts of functions originated from investigation of multivariate splines. de Boor and Höllig [2] considered linear independence of integer shifts of a box spline and obtained a necessary condition, which is confirmed to be also a sufficient condition by Jia [6]. Ron [9] characterizes linear independence of integer shifts of a compactly supported distribution (that is, $r = 1$) in terms of its Fourier-Laplace transform. The general case of linear independence for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ has been established in Jia and Micchelli [7] by studying solutions of certain systems of partial difference equations ([4, 7]). A different characterization of linear independence of integer shifts of compactly supported distributions is given as a special case of Ben-Artzi and Ron [1] with an extension by Zhao [11] in terms of compactly supported dual functionals. See Ron [10] for an

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 41A30, 41A63, 42C40, 15A03, 42B10.

Key words and phrases. Linear independence, integer shifts of functions, polynomial rings, Hilbert Nullstellensatz, compactly supported distributions.

Research supported in part by NSERC Canada under Grant RGP 228051.

excellent survey on many interesting results about shift-invariant spaces and linear independence. For the importance of linear independence in approximation theory, see [1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11]. For the role and application of linear independence in wavelet analysis, see [3, 5, 8, 10] and references therein.

Due to the importance of the two characterizations of linear independence of integer shifts of a finite number of compactly supported functions and distributions in approximation theory and wavelet analysis, we provide a simple self-contained proof here.

Main Theorem. *Let ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_r be compactly supported distributions on \mathbb{R}^d . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The integer shifts of ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_r are linearly independent.*
- (ii) *$\{\widehat{\phi}_\ell(z + 2\pi k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}, \ell = 1, \dots, r$ are linearly independent for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$, that is, there do not exist $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $c_1, \dots, c_r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|c_1| + \dots + |c_r| \neq 0$ and*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^r c_\ell \widehat{\phi}_\ell(\zeta + 2\pi k) = 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \quad (2)$$

- (iii) *There exist compactly supported $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ functions $\tilde{\phi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_r \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that*

$$\langle \tilde{\phi}_m, \phi_\ell(\cdot - k) \rangle = \delta(m - \ell)\delta(k), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \quad \text{and} \quad \ell, m = 1, \dots, r. \quad (3)$$

Proof. As observed in [9], (i) \implies (ii) is a direct consequence of the Poisson summation formula:

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(x - k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}(2\pi k) e^{i2\pi k \cdot x}$$

for every compactly supported distribution f on \mathbb{R}^d , where the above series on both sides converge in the sense of distributions. For $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $f(x) = \eta(x)e^{-i\zeta \cdot x}$, since $\widehat{f}(z) = \widehat{\eta}(\zeta + z)$, the Poisson summation formula can be written as

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \eta(x - k) e^{-i\zeta \cdot (x - k)} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{\eta}(\zeta + 2\pi k) e^{i2\pi k \cdot x} \quad (4)$$

for every compactly supported distribution η on \mathbb{R}^d and $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^d$. Suppose that (ii) fails. Then there exist $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $c_1, \dots, c_r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|c_1| + \dots + |c_r| \neq 0$ and (2) holds. Define $\eta := \sum_{\ell=1}^r c_\ell \phi_\ell$. Then η is a compactly supported distribution on \mathbb{R}^d . By (2), we have $\widehat{\eta}(\zeta + 2\pi k) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Now by the Poisson summation formula in (4), we have

$$e^{-i\zeta \cdot x} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\ell=1}^r c_\ell e^{i\zeta \cdot k} \phi_\ell(x - k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\ell=1}^r c_\ell e^{-i\zeta \cdot (x - k)} \phi_\ell(x - k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \eta(x - k) e^{-i\zeta \cdot (x - k)} = 0.$$

Since $e^{-i\zeta \cdot x} \neq 0$, defining $v_\ell(k) := c_\ell e^{i\zeta \cdot k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\ell = 1, \dots, r$, we see that (1) holds. This is a contradiction to item (i), since not all v_1, \dots, v_r are identically zero. Thus, we proved (i) \implies (ii).

(iii) \implies (i) is trivial. Suppose that (1) holds for some $v_1, \dots, v_r \in l(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. Then it follows trivially from (3) in item (iii) that

$$v_m(n) = \left\langle \sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} v_\ell(k) \phi_\ell(\cdot - k), \tilde{\phi}_m(\cdot - n) \right\rangle = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^d, m = 1, \dots, r.$$

Hence, all v_1, \dots, v_r must be identically zero. Therefore, we proved (iii) \implies (i).

We now prove the key part (ii) \implies (iii) using induction on r . The claim is obviously true for $r = 0$, since the statements are empty. We now prove the claim for $r \geq 1$. Define a linear mapping L by

$$L(h)(z) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \phi_r(\cdot - k), h \rangle z^k, \quad z \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^d, h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (5)$$

Since both h and ϕ_r are compactly supported, $L(h)$ is a well-defined Laurent polynomial in the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d, z_1^{-1}, \dots, z_d^{-1}]$. Define

$$D := \{h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \langle \phi_\ell(\cdot - k), h \rangle = 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \ell = 1, \dots, r-1\}.$$

We now prove that $L(D)$ is an ideal in $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d, z_1^{-1}, \dots, z_d^{-1}]$. Clearly, D is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $h(\cdot - k) \in D$ whenever $h \in D$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Consequently, $p + q \in L(D)$ whenever $p, q \in L(D)$. Let $h \in D$ and $p(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} p_n z^n \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d, z_1^{-1}, \dots, z_d^{-1}]$ be a Laurent polynomial. Define $g := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \overline{p_n} h(\cdot - n)$. Since $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is a finitely supported sequence on \mathbb{Z}^d and h is compactly supported, we see that g is a well-defined function in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and consequently, $g \in D$ by $h(\cdot - k) \in D$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. By calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(g)(z) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \phi_r(\cdot - k), g \rangle z^k = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} p_n \langle \phi_r(\cdot - k), h(\cdot - n) \rangle z^k \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} p_n \langle \phi_r(\cdot - k + n), h \rangle z^{k-n} z^n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} p_n z^n L(h)(z) = p(z) L(h)(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since $g \in D$, this proves $pL(h) = L(g) \in L(D)$. Hence, $L(D)$ is an ideal in $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d, z_1^{-1}, \dots, z_d^{-1}]$. Define $\mathcal{I} := L(D) \cap \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$, where $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$ is the polynomial ring in d -variables. Then it is trivial to see that \mathcal{I} is an ideal in $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$. Define $V(\mathcal{I}) := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d : p(z) = 0 \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{I}\}$. Using proof by contradiction, we now prove that $V(\mathcal{I}) \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^d = \emptyset$. Suppose $V(\mathcal{I}) \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^d \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d)^\top \in \mathbb{C}^d$ such that $e^{i\zeta} := (e^{i\zeta_1}, \dots, e^{i\zeta_d})^\top \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^d$ and $p(e^{i\zeta}) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathcal{I}$. By the definition of the mapping L , for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$L(h(\cdot - n)) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \phi_r(\cdot - k), h(\cdot - n) \rangle z^k = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \phi_r(\cdot - k + n), h \rangle z^{k-n} z^n = z^n L(h)(z). \quad (6)$$

Consequently, for every $h \in D$, since $L(h)$ is a Laurent polynomial, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $L(h(\cdot - n))(z) = z^n L(h)(z) \in \mathcal{I}$. Therefore, for every $h \in D$, we must have $e^{i\zeta \cdot n} L(h)(e^{i\zeta}) = L(h(\cdot - n))(e^{i\zeta}) = 0$ by $L(h(\cdot - n)) \in \mathcal{I}$. Since $e^{i\zeta \cdot n} \neq 0$, we conclude that $L(h)(e^{i\zeta}) = 0$ for all $h \in D$, which, as we shall demonstrate later, leads to a contradiction to our assumption in item (ii).

On the other hand, by induction hypothesis, there exist $\tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_{r-1} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\langle \tilde{h}_m, \phi_\ell(\cdot - k) \rangle = \delta(m - \ell) \delta(k), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \quad \text{and} \quad \ell, m = 1, \dots, r-1. \quad (7)$$

For $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we define

$$Ph := h - \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle h, \phi_\ell(\cdot - n) \rangle \tilde{h}_\ell(\cdot - n).$$

By (7), it is trivial to directly check that $Ph \in D$ and

$$\begin{aligned} L(Ph)(z) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \phi_r(\cdot - k), h \rangle z^k - \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \overline{\langle h, \phi_\ell(\cdot - n) \rangle} \langle \phi_r(\cdot - k), \tilde{h}_\ell(\cdot - n) \rangle z^k \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \phi_r(\cdot - k), h \rangle z^k - \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \phi_\ell(\cdot - k), h \rangle \langle \phi_r(\cdot - n), \tilde{h}_\ell(\cdot - k) \rangle z^n \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \phi_r(\cdot - k), h \rangle z^k - \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \phi_\ell(\cdot - k), h \rangle z^k \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \phi_r(\cdot - n), \tilde{h}_\ell(\cdot - k) \rangle z^{n-k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $z = e^{i\zeta}$ in the above identity and defining $c_r := 1$ and $c_\ell := -\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \phi_r(\cdot - n), \tilde{h}_\ell \rangle e^{i\zeta \cdot n} = -L(\tilde{h}_\ell)(e^{i\zeta}) \in \mathbb{C}$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, r-1$, we conclude that

$$\left\langle \sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_\ell e^{i\zeta \cdot k} \phi_\ell(\cdot - k), h \right\rangle = L(Ph)(e^{i\zeta}) = 0 \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where we used the facts that $Ph \in D$ and $L(g)(e^{i\zeta}) = 0$ for all $g \in D$. That is, setting $\eta := \sum_{\ell=1}^r c_\ell \phi_\ell$, we proved

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \eta(x - k) e^{-i\zeta \cdot (x-k)} = e^{-i\zeta \cdot x} \sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_\ell e^{i\zeta \cdot k} \phi_\ell(\cdot - k) = 0.$$

By the Poisson summation formula in (4), we deduce from the above identity that $\sum_{\ell=1}^r c_\ell \widehat{\phi}_\ell(\zeta + 2\pi k) = \widehat{\eta}(\zeta + 2\pi k) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Since $c_r = 1 \neq 0$, this is a contradiction to item (ii). This proves $V(\mathcal{I}) \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^d = \emptyset$. Consequently, the polynomial $z_1 \cdots z_d$ must vanish at all points in $V(\mathcal{I})$. By Hilbert Nullstellensatz, there exists a positive integer m such that $z_1^m \cdots z_d^m \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence, there exists $h \in D$ such that $L(h) = z_1^m \cdots z_d^m$. Set $\tilde{\phi}_r := h(\cdot + (m, \dots, m)^\top)$. Hence, by (6), we have

$$L(\tilde{\phi}_r) = L(h(\cdot + (m, \dots, m)^\top))(z) = L(h)(z) z_1^{-m} \cdots z_d^{-m} = 1,$$

which is equivalent to $\langle \tilde{\phi}_r, \phi_r(\cdot - k) \rangle = \delta(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Since $\tilde{\phi}_r \in D$, this implies that $\langle \tilde{\phi}_r, \phi_\ell(\cdot - k) \rangle = 0 = \delta(\ell - r) \delta(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\ell = 1, \dots, r-1$. Now define

$$\tilde{\phi}_\ell := \tilde{h}_\ell - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \tilde{h}_\ell, \phi_r(\cdot - n) \rangle \tilde{\phi}_r(\cdot - n), \quad \ell = 1, \dots, r-1.$$

It is trivial to deduce from (7) and the above identities that (3) is satisfied. Since $\tilde{\phi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_r \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the claim holds for r . Now by induction, we see that (ii) \implies (iii). \square

The equivalence between (i) and (ii) of Main Theorem has been established in [7] (and in [9] for $r = 1$). The existence of compactly supported dual functionals in (iii) is a special case of [1, Theorem 1.3] and [11]. The operator $L(h)$ in (5) is linked to the bracket product. For the bracket product and its applications in approximation theory and wavelet analysis, for example, see [8, 10] and references therein.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ben-Artzi and A. Ron, On the integer translates of a compactly supported function: dual bases and linear projectors. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **21** (1990), no. 6, 1550–1562.
- [2] C. de Boor and K. Höllig, B-splines from parallelepipeds. *J. Analyse Math.* **42** (1982/83), 99–115.
- [3] I. Daubechies, Ten Lectures on Wavelets. CBMS-NSF Series, **61**, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
- [4] W. Dahmen and C. A. Micchelli, On the solution of certain systems of partial difference equations and linear dependence of translates of box splines. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **292** (1985), no. 1, 305–320.
- [5] B. Han, Vector cascade algorithms and refinable function vectors in Sobolev spaces, *J. Approx. Theory*, **124** (2003), Issue 1, 44–88.
- [6] R. Q. Jia, Linear independence of translates of a box spline. *J. Approx. Theory* **40** (1984), no. 2, 158–160.
- [7] R. Q. Jia and C. A. Micchelli, On linear independence for integer translates of a finite number of functions. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **36** (1992), no. 1, 69–85.
- [8] R. Q. Jia and C. A. Micchelli, Using the refinement equations for the construction of pre-wavelets. II. Powers of two. *Curves and surfaces* (Chamonix-Mont-Blanc, 1990), 209–246, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1991.
- [9] A. Ron, A necessary and sufficient condition for the linear independence of the integer translates of a compactly supported distribution. *Constr. Approx.* **5** (1989), no. 3, 297–308.
- [10] A. Ron, Introduction to shift-invariant spaces: Linear independence. *Multivariate Approximation and Applications*, A. Pinkus, D. Leviatan, N. Dyn, and D. Levin (eds.), Cambridge University Press, (2001), 112–151.
- [11] K. Zhao, Global linear independence and finitely supported dual basis. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **23** (1992), no. 5, 1352–1355.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA T6G 2G1. bhan@ualberta.ca <http://www.ualberta.ca/~bhan>